## Hidetomo Tohmori 2023.10.01

ABC conjecture states that there are natural numbers A, B and C that satisfy equation (1.1) and inequality (1.2) below, but there are no natural numbers A, B and C that satisfy inequality (1.3).

p is a prime number ( $\geq 5$ ) r is a natural number

Natural numbers A, B and C are relatively prime

A + B = C	(1.1)
rad(ABC) < C	(1.2)
$rad(ABC)^2 < C$	(1.3)

$$A = rad(A) = p = C - B$$
  $rad(BC) = r$ 

For inequality (1.2) to hold, the following inequality must hold.

$$rad(ABC) = pr < C$$
  $p < \frac{1}{r}C$ 

For inequality (1.3) to hold, the following inequality must hold.

$$rad(ABC)^{2} = p^{2}r^{2} < C$$
  $p < \frac{1}{r}C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

The maximum value of prime number p that satisfies equation (1.1) and inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) is determined by the minimum value of r = rad(BC).

However, the minimum value of r is 6 when B and C are powers of 2 or 3.

Then, the equation (1.1) can be rewritten as follows.

$$p + 3^j = 2^n$$
  $p + 2^n = 3^j$  (1.4)

In order for the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) to hold, the following inequalities (1.5) and (1.6) must hold.

 $rad(BC) = 2 \times 3 = r = 6$ 

 $rad(ABC) = 6p < C \qquad p < \frac{1}{6}C \qquad (1.5)$ 

$$rad(ABC)^2 = 36p^2 < C$$
  $p < \frac{1}{6}C^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (1.6)

Then, ABC conjecture can be rephrased as follows.

There are prime number p and natural numbers j and n that satisfy equation (1.4) and inequality (1.5) above, but there are no prime number p and natural numbers j and n that satisfy inequality (1.6).

The above ABC conjecture is proven below.

There always exist real numbers m,  $\delta$  and  $\alpha$  that satisfy the following inequality.

 $e^{m} < B < e^{m+\delta} \qquad e^{m+\alpha} < C < e^{m+\alpha+\delta}$ Then the following inequality holds.  $e^{m+\alpha} - e^{m+\delta} < C - B = p < e^{m+\alpha+\delta} - e^{m}$  $e^{m}(e^{\alpha} - e^{\delta}) <math display="block">0 0 \qquad \beta \text{ is a real number.}$  $\beta e^{m}$ 

When  $\delta$  is extremely small, the following inequality holds.  $\beta e^m \approx \beta B$ 

Then the following inequality holds.

$$\beta B = \beta (C - p) < p$$
  
$$\beta C 
$$\frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} C < p$$$$

However, when ABC conjecture holds, the following inequality (1.6) does not hold.

$$rad(ABC)^2 = 36p^2 < C$$
  $p < \frac{1}{6}C^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (1.6)

Then the following inequality does not hold.

$$\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}C < \frac{1}{6}C^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta})^2C^2 < \frac{1}{36}C$$
$$C < \frac{1}{36}(\frac{1+\beta}{\beta})^2$$

Since there is no limit to the size of natural number  ${\cal C}$ , the above inequality does not hold.

Therefore, ABC conjecture holds.

In the following five examples, the inequality (1.2) holds,

but the inequality (1.3) does not.

From these five examples,  $AB\mathcal{C}$  conjecture is presumed to be reasonable.

Example 1

 $B = 3^3 = 27$  $C = 2^5 = 32$ A = 5rad(A) = 5 rad(B) = 3 rad(C) = 2 $rad(ABC) = 5 \times 2 \times 3 = 30 < 32 = 2^5 = C$ Example 2  $C = 2^8 = 256$  $B = 3^5 = 243$ A = 13rad(A) = 13 rad(B) = 3 rad(C) = 2 $rad(ABC) = 13 \times 2 \times 3 = 78 < 256 = 2^8 = C$ Example 3 A = 139  $B = 2^{11} = 2048$   $C = 3^7 = 2187$ rad(A) = 139 rad(B) = 2 rad(C) = 3 $rad(ABC) = 139 \times 2 \times 3 = 834 < 2187 = 3^7 = C$ Example 4 A = 6487  $B = 3^{10} = 59049$   $C = 2^{16} = 65536$ rad(A) = 6487 rad(B) = 3 rad(C) = 2 $rad(ABC) = 6487 \times 3 \times 2 = 38922 < 65536 = 2^{16} = C$ Example 5  $B = 2^{19} = 524288$  $C = 3^{12} = 531441$ *A* = 7153 rad(A) = 7153 rad(B) = 2 rad(C) = 3 $rad(ABC) = 7153 \times 2 \times 3 = 42918 < 531441 = 3^{12} = C$